STATEMENT OF COMMISSIONER MICHAEL J. COPPS

Re: Exclusive Service Contracts for Provision of Video Services in Multiple Dwelling Units and Other Real Estate Developments

Thanks to this item, Americans who live in multiple dwelling units (MDUs) will be able to reap the benefits of competition and consumer choice just like those who live in single-family homes. It's a lot of people – nearly thirty percent of our total population and an even higher percentage of the overall minority population.

Today's decision is a significant step forward not just for what it does, but also for what it does not do. It does not prevent a property owner from negotiating a bulk discount for its residents or bargaining for heightened customer service requirements. Nor does it give any video provider the right to enter an MDU over the objection of the property owner. It simply removes a large obstacle to providing residents of MDUs with the ability to choose among alternative providers serving the surrounding community.

We could have gone even further. I am particularly concerned about the potential of tipping the playing field in favor of particular services or particular competitors. Markets can move quickly in response to regulatory changes, and it may be that some MVPDs not covered by today's decision will attempt to fill the vacuum by marketing themselves as the only exclusive game in town. Happily we will be addressing these competitive parity issues in the next six months. In the meantime, I would caution any MVPDs seeking to take advantage of this regulatory lag time that they do so at their own risk. I agree with several public interest commenters in this record who argued, "the Commission should apply the policy of access with an equal and impartial hand." In this regard, I'm pleased that my colleagues have agreed to conclude within the next two months the open proceeding examining the permissibility of exclusive contracts for telecommunications services in residential MDUs. I am also pleased that we will be looking at expanding the multi-channel video service providers (MVPDs) covered by the rule we adopt today. The sooner we complete action on all this, the better off consumers will be.

This is a good and significant step forward, I thank the Bureau and my colleagues for their hard work on it, and I am pleased to support the item.

-

¹ See Ex Parte Comments of Consumer Federation of America, Consumers Union, New America Foundation, Free Press, Public Knowledge, and U.S. PIRG, dated October 24, 2007.